

Math 255B Lecture 17 Notes

Daniel Raban

February 14, 2020

1 Quadratic Forms and the Friedrichs Extension Theorem

1.1 Obtaining self-adjoint operators from quadratic forms

Last time, we said that a nonnegative, symmetric quadratic form is closed if when $u_n \xrightarrow{q} u$ for $u_n \in D(q)$, then $u \in D(q)$ and $q(u_n - u) \rightarrow 0$. We checked that q is closable if and only if when $u_n \xrightarrow{q} 0$, $q(u_n) \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let q be a nonnegative, symmetric, quadratic form. Assume that $D(q)$ is dense and that q is closed. Then there exists a unique self-adjoint operator \mathcal{A} such that $D(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq D(q)$ and $q(u, v) = \langle \mathcal{A}u, v \rangle$ for all $u \in D(\mathcal{A}), v \in D(q)$. Also, $D(\mathcal{A})$ is a **core** for q in the sense that $D(\mathcal{A})$ is dense in H_q .*

Example 1.1. Let $q(u) = \int |u'|^2 + V|u|^2 dx$, where $V \in L^1(\mathbb{T}; \mathbb{R})$ and $D(q) = H^1(\mathbb{T})$. Then there exists a unique self-adjoint operator $P = -\partial_x^2 + V$ such that $D(P) \subseteq H^1$ and $q(u, v) = \langle Pu, v \rangle$.

Proof. Let $\langle x, y \rangle_q := q(x, y) + \langle x, y \rangle$ for $x, y \in D(q)$. Then H_q is a Hilbert space with respect to this scalar product. Then $\|x\|_q \geq \|x\|$ for all $x \in H_q$, so for any $u \in H$, the linear form $H_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ sending $v \mapsto \langle v, u \rangle$ is continuous. By the Riesz representation theorem, there is a unique $u^* \in H_q$ such that $\langle v, u \rangle = \langle v, u^* \rangle_{H_q}$. We get a linear map $K : H \rightarrow H_q$ sending $u \mapsto u^*$ such that $\langle v, u \rangle = \langle v, Ju \rangle_q$ for all $v \in H_q$ and $u \in H$.

We claim that J is a bounded, self-adjoint operator on H . If $y, x \in H$,

$$\langle Jy, x \rangle = \langle Jy, Jx \rangle_q = \overline{\langle Jx, Jy \rangle_q} = \overline{\langle Jx, y \rangle} = \langle y, Jx \rangle.$$

So J is symmetric. By the closed graph theorem, $J \in \mathcal{L}(H, H)$. Moreover, J is injective: If $Jx = 0$, then $\langle y, x \rangle = \langle y, Jx \rangle_q = 0$ for all $y \in H_q$. But H_q is dense in H , so $x = 0$.

Write

$$H = \ker J \oplus \overline{\text{Ran } J^*} = \overline{\text{Ran } J}.$$

So $\text{Ran } J$ is dense and contained in H_q . Define $\mathcal{A} : D(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Ran } J$ by $\mathcal{A}x = J^{-1}x - x$. We have J^{-1} is self-adjoint: J^{-1} is symmetric, and if (x, y) are such that $\langle J^{-1}z, x \rangle = \langle z, y \rangle$,

where $z = Jw$, then $\langle w, x \rangle = \langle Jw, y \rangle = \langle w, Jy \rangle$. So $x \in D(\mathcal{A})$, and $y = J^{-1}x$. ($D(\mathcal{A})$ is dense in H_q .)

Finally, \mathcal{A} corresponds to the quadratic form q :

$$\langle x, y \rangle_q = \langle x, J^{-1}y \rangle,$$

so

$$q(x, y) = \langle x, y \rangle_q - \langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, \mathcal{A}y \rangle, \quad y \in D(\mathcal{A}), x \in D(q). \quad \square$$

1.2 The Friedrichs extension theorem

In the previous theorem, we don't have much control over the domain of the self-adjoint operator \mathcal{A} . Here is a frequently encountered use of the theorem.

Theorem 1.2 (Friedrichs extension). *Let S be a symmetric, densely defined operator $D(S) \rightarrow H$ such that S is bounded below: $\langle Su, u \rangle \geq -C\|u\|^2$ for every $u \in D(S)$. Let the quadratic form q be given by $D(q) = D(S)$, $q(u) = \langle Su, u \rangle$. Then q is closable. The self-adjoint operator associated to \bar{q} , the **Friedrichs extension** of S , is also bounded below.*

Remark 1.1. The Friedrichs extension theorem can give a different result compared to if we just closed the operator S .

Remark 1.2. Let $q \geq 0$ be closed. Then $q(u, v) = \langle u, \mathcal{A}v \rangle$ for $v \in D(\mathcal{A})$ and $u \in D(q)$, where $D(\mathcal{A}) = \{v \in H_q : \exists f \in H \text{ s.t. } q(u, v) = \langle u, f \rangle \forall u \in D(q)\}$. So in the theorem, $D(\mathcal{A}) \supseteq D(S)$.

Proof. We can assume that $S \geq 0$. We only need to show that q is closable. Let $u_n \in D(S)$ be such that $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in H and $q(u_n - u_m) \xrightarrow{n, m \rightarrow \infty} 0$. We want to show that $q(u_n) \rightarrow 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} q(u_n) &\leq |q(u_n - u_m, u_n)| + |q(u_m, u_n)| \\ &\stackrel{\text{C-S}}{\leq} q^{1/2}(u_n - u_m)q^{1/2}(u_n) + |\langle u_m, Su_n \rangle|. \end{aligned}$$

For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an N such that $q(u_n - u_m) \leq \varepsilon$ when $n, m \geq N$. So

$$q(u_n) \leq \varepsilon^{1/2}q^{1/2}(u_n) + |\langle u_m, Su_n \rangle| \quad \forall n, m \geq N.$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$q(u_n) \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

So q is closable. □

Example 1.2 (The Dirichlet realization of $-\Delta$). Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and bounded, and let $S = -\Delta$ with $D(S) = C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ ($S \geq 0$). The Friedrichs extension is associated with the closure of the quadratic form

$$q(u) = \langle Su, u \rangle = \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u)\bar{u} = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

Next time, we will see that in this case, $D(\bar{q})$ is the closure of C_0^∞ in the topology of $H^1(\Omega)$. This is usually called $H_0^1(\Omega)$ (but is not all of $H^1(\Omega)$).